P23

App. F. IX-4

1929. (Miscl.)

File No. 176-29

Serial Nos. 1-5 & 8 Cy

Previous References

Mus Dep 109-27.
L.R. & 155-28

Later References

Mis 191-3 & P.I. &-29

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 5

Part B for April 1930

SUBJECT

Management of Syed Sha Sadoodie Dhakhan at Velacaugala.

G.O. No. 2020-2
dated 3.6.30

To the Surg.-Gen.
& Dir. Bangalore
— Compt.

Govt. adopt the Surg. Comm.'s recommendation

Serial No.

163. with No. 31/28 2a dated 23rd Nov 1929 from the Revenue Commissioner on the proposal to hand over the Village of Kalamangalam to the Registered Mukkuvan at Kalamangalam and the Village Headmen's report thereon and to settle the claimants to the management of the Mukkuvan Village in accordance with the Village Regulations and to recommend whether the management of the Village should be handed over to the Registered Mukkuvan, and Mr. Bungalow recommends its being handed over to the registered Mukkuvan, and Mr. Hogan concurs in the proposal, and recommends the sanction of the Government, subject to the following conditions:

1. Survey and Settlement of the Village, and the appointment of a Committee to conduct the election of the Mukkuvan Regularly.

2. The Rev Commissioner states that there are 4 persons as claimants to the Mukkuvan, all of them being inefficient to manage it two of them being Goshaladies the Registered Mukkuvan, suffering from epileptic fits. Under these circumstances, the management of the Godi Village, was taken over under Govt Management in 1924, but the matter did not improve as a large amount of arrears remained uncollected from the Godi Village. We sets aside the Dt Co's proposal to hand over the Village to the Registered Mukkuvan, and recommends that it may continue under the Government

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20 4-29

Serial No.

163. Letter No. 312/28-29 dated 28th Nov 1929 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore stating that Sri Jagadguru Veerasimhasana Mahasamsthana at Nidumangala in Mysore District and the village Hegjedadevanapura were entitled to it for its support. As the claimants for the management of the Matham were unfit to manage the village and as the Mathadhipathi was under Government management in 1911, Dasara the State Manager Bangalore recommends it being handed over to the registered Mathadhipathi, and Hesa. Assistant Commissioner has reported in favour of the proposal and recommends the entire management of the village under Government, introduction of survey and Settlement until the village and the establishment of a Committee to conduct the services of the Institution properly.

3

The Rev Commissioner states that there are 4 persons as claimants to the Mathadhipathi, all of them being ineefficient to manage it two of them being Gosha ladies the Registered Mathadhipathi, suffering from epileptic fits. In these circumstances, the management of the Godi village, was taken over under Govt Management in 1911, but the matter did not improve as a large amount of arrears remained uncollected from the Godi village. He Sets aside the D.C's proposal to hand over the village to the Registered Mathadhipathi, and recommends that it may continue under the Government

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20 4-29

Serial No.

management since the matter become worse if given to the private parties

As this is a Devadaya Inam Question, taken under Government in G.O No R 1223-4 dated 1.9.1921, it may be transferred to the Murzai Branch for disposal. (The Murzai collection containing GO of 1.9.21 is stated to have been destroyed by the 5th Accused) a copy of the monthly volume containing the abstract of the G.O in question has been interpolated.

H

27/11/29

This is a Murzai Branch matter being a Devadaya Inam ... management as proposed by the Revenue ... be dealt with in the Murzai Branch & this file may be transferred

A.

29.11.29

28/11/29

A. may be done

(Secy) 30/11/29

Yes

Mbr Sect) 30/11.

Submitted for orders on the recommendation for the Continuance of the management by the Inamdar of Heggada Devanapura and the Makan in question is which it is entrusted for reasons

GENL. & REV. SECRET. 2 DEC 1929 19-868 MADRAS

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

Serial No.	
	Reported- With a view to know the object of the inam grant, a dft. calling for a copy of the Inam Registr. i's submitr for appl or misc.s.
—	AA 11.12 2f Um 11/12
	Dft. WD 11.12
2	To the Superintendent of Survey and Settlement No [illegible] f. 17-12 f Requesting to send the original or an authenticated copy of the in an Register [illegible] to the Hegganduwa Kelaniya
Ins(r) Um 21/12	
3	From, the Inam Supt., Letter no 157 dt 11. 1 1930. Submits the orgl inam register pertaining to the Inam Village of Heggadenanapura— 13 The Village has been registered as Fakeer. Denadaya and is intended for Keeping the Building in good repair, and Conducting an annual ceremony

Note—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 192

Serial No.

Chiefs etc

[illegible] matter in the K. C's Recommendation for the continuance of the management now by the [illegible]

15) The Hy Commrs recommendations in this matter are contained in ~~para~~ the enclosure pertaining to para 5 of his letter of 28/11/29 Since under first management the affairs of this State do not seem to have [illegible] the explanation of the officers concerned for lacks is proposed to be called for, for suitable action. Meantime the continuance of first management is recommended. (Para 6 of this letter) of his letter as regards the claim of the Minor Son of Zabitah.

PWD 1

A

"Hlcy"

q 2852

21/1

16. A. May be approved

1st Monday

4772-2 14.10

17) A- Yes.

8/2/30

Action taken for recovery of arrears to be reported

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

18) Sanad and Kittee are to be introduced into the Nuzzur

Nuzzur 4 2 30

19) [illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 176 of 1920.

Serial No.

20 Subd with a draft and also a draft to Govt: Suppl: returning the I.G.M. register and Govt: file in original

21 Draft G.O: is modified according to the Govt: Legl: instructions

22 Mem type and

23 Subd with a typed draft as Revised

24 Issue as raised

Note—Contn. Sheet

8. From the Supt: Gen: Surg: Dett. No. 286 & 7-5-30 acks receipt of

App. F. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Env: Pet^n
1 Genl; No. R 6523 of 1927-28
LR 174-77-42

The accompanying Petition Registered

No.... 4273 ..... dated... 6-2-28 / 4-2-28

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from.... Ahmed unnissa wife of Syed Ahmed Shushair Jahagirdar of Annapalya - Salem District praying that the management of Jodi village of Hegjade - devanapura, Dasanpura Hobli, Nelamangala Taluk & the jodi lands granted for the upkeep of the Roja Makkan of Hazrath Sadruddin Auliah Sab of Nelamangala Town may be given over to Mahadu Bi and Mahamed Peer and etc.

is forwarded, together with its enclosures to the Revenue Comm^r for a report.

.....for.....

By Order,
21/2
Asst Secretary.

BANGALORE,
..... 19.....

[P. T. O.

WD 2369--GPB—3,000—14-6-27

ಕರ್ಜದಾರ್..... ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

..... ಹೋಬಳಿ

..... ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ

- ಇಂಡೆಂಟ್ಗೆ ಹುಕುಂ.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ .....

ಮರ್ಕಮಿನ ಕರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ..... ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....

..... ತವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Secretary.

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 20th September 1929.

CHIEF SECRETARY
RECEIVED 1622
20 SEP 1929
REVENUE BRANCH
SECRETARIAT
21 SEP 1929
SECRET
19 495

No. 368-24
28-9

FROM

A. N. Syed Raj Tehrani, Esq., B.A.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

TO FINANCIAL SECRETARY
20 SEP 1929
3733

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No.C.300/28-29 dated 2nd August 1929 re the management of the Sadaruddin Mahal at Nelamangala and its inam village of Heggaladevarapura, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's letter No.Jc-2366, M-28 dated 3rd September 1929 reporting that the connected revenue records are sent to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District for ascertaining the explanation of Mr. R. Shamo Iyengar for having neglected the collection work of the inam village while he was in charge of the Nelamangala Taluk and that the records would be submitted soon after its receipt from that Deputy Commissioner.

A final report in the matter will be submitted soon after the records are received from the Deputy Commissioner.

Submd pencil 28/9

The final report may be awaited.

28/9/29

28/9

Yes
30/9

Submd
30/9/29

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Ry Narasimha Ayyangar
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(absent from Station)

9

Letter No.CC-3360/27-28 dated 3rd September 1928 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Revenue Commissioner at Mysore.

Subject:- Re the restoration of the management of the Gavaradana Makkan at Nelamangala and its inam village to the Makkanar, etc.

With reference to your letter No.366/28-9 dated 31st August 1928 calling for the vernacular records on the above subject, I have the honor to state that the connected records are sent to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, for obtaining the explanation of Mr. R. Shama Iyengar now personal Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, for having neglected the collection work of village belonging to the Makkan in question, while he was in charge of Nelamangala Taluk, as desired by the Revenue Commissioner in his docket No.J.1420/27-28 dated 27th July 1928 for taking disciplinary action.

2. The explanations of the other two Amildars namely, Messrs. P. Krishna Rao and Tingopanth Padami have been received and the reply of Deputy Commissioner, of Mysore is awaited. The Deputy Commissioner of Mysore has this day been reminded to forward the explanation of Mr. R. Shama Iyengar early, along with the connected records.

3.

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,.....2nd..November..... 1929.

23

No. 368 Encls 17 file
28-9

R. 2477.
25 NOV
REVENUE BR

FROM

Rajakarya Pravina
F. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.~~

GENL. & REV. SEC
2 DEC 1929
A-868

To

B. Narayanaswami Iyengar, M.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

L.R.-174-27-42

SIR,

With reference to letters No.R.2206/L.R.1-27-20 dated 28th September 1927 and R.6521/L.R.174-27-42 dated 24th February 1928 -- calling for a report re the management of the Syed Sha Saddruddin -- Makkan at Nelamangala, I have the honor to state as follows:--

2. Syed Sha Saddruddin Makkan at Nelamangala is a Muzrai Institution endowed with Sarvamanya Heggadadevanapura village of the same -- Taluk as Devadaya Inam for the up-keep of the Makkan. As the services of the Makkan were not properly conducted by the Makkandar Syed Peera Hussain and the income from the Inam village not properly utilized, Government directed in Government Order No.1223-4/Muz.571-20-4 dated 1st September 1921 that the Inam village be taken under Government management and a scale of expenditure for the necessary services in the Makkan fixed and a committee of Nazarin-o-koffs also appointed -- for the management of the Institution. Accordingly the village was taken under Government management, a scale of expenditure fixed and a committee for management appointed in Deputy Commissioner's order dated 19th August 1922, in consultation with the Muzrai Superintendent. The management of the Inam village continues to be under Govt.

3. One Mr. Syed Sha Mahomed Hussain, a step paternal uncle of the -- Registered Makkandar Syed Peera Hussain and also one Ahmedunnissa -- wife of Syed Ahmed Bhuttari, Jahgirdar of Amaabalya in the Salem --- District and a step sister of the Makkandar petitioned to the Re-

Deewan praying that the village may be handed over to them for -- management of the Makkan and these petitions were forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District for report. The Sub - Division Officer Doddaballapur Sub Division conducted a detailed enquiry into the matter and on his report the Deputy Commissioner in his ~~xxxxxxxxx~~ letter dated 16th August 1928 (copy enclosed)

1. Syed Meera Hussain, (Registered Makkandar.)	stated that the
2. Mohideen Bee (Sister of (1)	marginally noted
3. Khanedunnissa (Step sister of (1)	four persons --
4. Syed Ghulam Mohamed Hussain alias Mahomed Meeran Sahib (step paternal uncle of (1)	were the claimants for the manage-

ment of the Makkan put that none of them were capable of managing the affairs of the Makkan and as such, recommended the taking --- over of the institution under Government management and the appointment of a Committee of Mazarin-ow-koffs for the supervision of its affairs until a competent claimant came forward to manage it.

On calling for certain further information, the present --- Assistant Commissioner Mr. A. K. Syed Taj Meeran states in his letter dated 2nd May 1929 (copy enclosed) that though the makkan was -- taken over for Government management owing to the incapacity and mismanagement of the Makkandar, the affairs of the institution have not at all improved as the local officers have allowed large ---- arrears to accumulate and have not even got the village settled though it was surveyed long ago and therefore recommends the --- restoration of the management of the Makkan and its properties to the registered Makkandar Syed Meera Hussain Sab to manage it himself if he can and if not, to get it managed by agents appointed by him.

As the Government order dated 1st September 1921 above referred to does not specifically say that the makkan and its properties were taken for management only temporarily during the illness of the -- Makkandar, as is alleged to have been, by the makkandar and as the taking over is unconditional, there is no reason why it should be restored back to its old managers simply because the local officers did not manage it till now properly. When Government itself ----- could

could not manage it properly owing to the influence and interference of rival relations of the registered makkandar, much less will be makkandar be able to manage it efficiently, especially when his cousins are putting forth their claims to it. The best remedy under the circumstances is certainly not to restore it to the -- old managers, who were found not to have been managing the same properly, as is recommended by the Deputy Commissioner but to enforce Government authority in the village and in the management of the makkan by directing the local officers to deal firmly -- with the claimants and to take the management actually into their hands and not allow interference by the relations of the makkandar as they seem to have done up-to-now, to introduce survey and ---- settlement into the village, fix a scale of expenditure for the services in the Makkan, and appoint a proper committee of ------- Nazrain-ow-koffs to see that these services were regularly conducted in the institution.

6 Action is being taken separately in the Revenue Department for obtaining the explanations of the local officers concerned for their laches in the regular collection of the revenue of the ---- village and for having allowed the arrears to accumulate so heavily.

7 With regard to the petition of Zabirabi, widow of Syed ----- Shabubadin Saheb Moulvi of Mahomed Sadrudin Darga at Melamangala - received with the Dewan's Private Secretary's D.O. No.134 dated - the 9th July 1929, advancing the claims of her minor son to the -- Darga and its Inam lands, I beg to state that the records which - contains a genealogical tree do not show what relationship she -- bears to the Makkandar and in what way she is entitled to the ---- managership. Since however it is not proposed to restore the -- management to private hands there seems no need to consider her -- claim now.

The

P.T.O.

The connected vernacular records are herein enclosed for -- kind reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Luzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.C.5-1057-[illegible] dated 10th [illegible] 1928 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Collector and Deputy Commissioner, Salem.

Subject:- Management of Sayandin Sha Labbai Mahomedan Chattram, Salem.

With reference to your official letter cited No.C.1075/27-5 dated 1st March 1927 and in continuation of this office No.C.5-1057-5 dated 26th June 1928, I have the honor to submit herewith the enquiry file on the above subject received with the Doddaballapur Sub-Division Officer's No.A-4-635/27-28 dated 9th July 1928 and to state that the records show that the marginally noted four persons are the claimants for the management of the Chattram.

1. Syed Meera Hussain,
2. Ahamedunnissa,
3. Syed Sha Mahomed Hussain Sahib,
4. Mohidin Bi.

Of these, Syed Meera Hussain, the present manager is reported to be quite incapable of managing the institution as he is said to be suffering from epileptic fits; the Sub Division Officer also states that he resides in Bababudangiri and evinces no interest in the proper maintenance of the institution. The second petitioner (Ahamedunnissa) a cousin of the 1st petitioner resides at Attur Salem in the Salem District with her husband, who is not agreeable to take over the management. The Sub Division Officer reports that the 3rd petitioner Syed Sha Mahomed Hussain Sahib is also not competent to manage the Chattram. As regards the 4th and last petitioner Mohiadin Bi, who is the sister of Syed Meera Hussain Saheb and claims the management during the minority of her minor son, it would not be possible for her also to attend to this work, since she is a gosha lady.

2. In these circumstances, I am of opinion that the institution may be taken over to Government management, a committee of Nazarin-in-koffs being appointed to supervise its affairs until a competent claimant comes forward to manage it.

3. It will be seen from the records that though the village endowed to the institution has been taken over under Government management, Mr. Syed Meera Hussain Sahib the present so called Manager of the institution, has been collecting the rent etc., due from the tenants. How this was allowed and what action the local officers have taken to put a stop to it is not clear. I am therefore instructing the Sub Division Officer to proceed against the tenants for the recovery of the dues since the date of assumption of management

management by Covernment if they have been duly notified of the same and if not, to advise them at once and to warn them that they would not be absolved from liability to pay their dues to Government in case they pay the same to any private party.

"C o p y"

For Luzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.C.3-I.1357/25 dated 2nd Ja 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Huzrai Co issioner in Mysore.

With reference to your Docket No.568/28 dated 20th De cember 1928 re the management of Sadrudin Shah Makhan at Nelamangala, I have the honor to forward herewith as desi ed by you a copy of -- Government Order No.1223-5/Huz.571-29-4 dated 1st September 1921, directing that Heggadadevanapura village endo ed to the institution should be taken under Government management.

In this connection, I write to point out that the institution was taken over under Government management on account of the incapacity and mismanagement of the then Makhandar. But the affairs of the institution are reported to have not improved since then owing to neglect by the local officers. When the village was taken under Government management ~~the~~ of the arrears amounted to Rupees 2441-14-2 but they have now come up to Rs.5444-7-0. The Amildars who were incharge of the Taluk since then are mainly res onsible fo this sad state of affairs. A copy of the Sub Division Officer's report received in this connection is submitted herewith for perusal. The explanation of Mr. P. Krishna Rao now Amildar of Nagadi referred to in the Sub Division Officer's report will be obtained and submitted. In the interests of the efficient management of the institution and proper conduct of services therein, I am of opinion that the management of both the institution and the village endowed to it may be restored to the Makkandar Mr. Syed Mir Hussain Saheb or to one of the competent persons nominated by him as the appointment of committee of Nazarin-O-Koffs as recommended by my predecessor will not serve any useful --- purpose.

"C o p y"

For Huzrai Commissioner.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 1212

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Inam Supdt.

Date of issue 17-12-28

Signed by Mr. T. K. S. Raja

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to send up for reference the original or an authenticated copy of the Inam register pertaining to the grant of Hegga Senanapura village as Sarvamanya to Sayed Sha Sadruddin Maharu of Nelamangala.

I have the honour to be, Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Under Secretary.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by

Examined by.....

G.R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

NO. 157 and 1 Register

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,

GENL. & REV. SECT. 15 JAN 1930 1105 MUZRAL.

Dated, Bangalore, 9th January 1930.

FROM

D. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, Esq., B.A.,
Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore.

To

Rajaseva Parayana Muz 176-2
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, BANGALORE.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith the Inam Register, in original, pertaining to the grant of Heggadadevanpura village as sarvamanya to Syed Sha Saddruddin Makhan at Nelamangala, as desired in your letter No. 1213/Muz.176-29-2 dated 13/17-12-1929. The Register may kindly be returned after reference.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For SUPERINTENDENT.

BKK.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be cipoed, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must b cipoed, or slips pasted on this form.

Proceedings of the Commissioner of H.R.E.

the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

4 G.O. No. 1[illegible] dated [illegible]
1-9-21 intimating that the Sajjada Sri Sha Makhan at Nellamangala and the Dharmadarshi will for of Perra-dadevanapura enjoyed thereof be taken over by Govt. Management.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 368 dated 20-10-29 from the Asst. Commr. forwarding a report in regard to the transfer of the management of the said Makhan to one Mohideen Bi and his brothers. as prayed for in the petition sent up to Govt. by one Abdul Hakim

Order No. 2020-2 dated 3-4-30.

Records.

The management of the Makhan by Govt. was ordered in 1921 and a scale of expenditure was fixed then with a Committee of Nazarin-cum Koffs as it was found that the institution was not being properly managed.

The D.C. Bangalore has recommended the restoration of the village to the rightful Makhandar Syed Meera Hussain Sab either to manage the same by himself or by his agent as the affairs of the institution have not improved and the local officers have allowed a large arrears to accumulate.

The Asst. Comrs recommending that the manage. Govt: accepts

~~of rival claims and other special circumstances it~~ would be better to continue the Makhan and his brothers as ~~trustees~~ managers

on the petitions of certain claimants for Syed Shah Mohammad Hussain (Rightful Makhandar) and other allegations

~~the village to Govt.~~ and to introduce survey and Settlement ~~therein, fixing~~ a scale of expenditure for services in the ~~Municipal~~ Town ~~Municipality~~ and also appoint a proper Committee of Nazarat-Officials, ~~appointed by the Government~~ to the regular conduct of services therein.

~~The determination of the Mus. Tot. is~~ sanctioned. Very early steps should be taken ~~to introduce survey and settlement in the village~~ and action taken for the recovery of arrears ~~should be reported to Govt.~~ and the result ~~reported~~ to Govt.

4/2873

28/3 Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

To

The Asstnl Commr. in Mysore returning the records.
The D.C. Bangalore.
The Comptroller to Govt.

C.R.G.

22

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Government order No. 1238-4 Muz.571-20-4 dated 1st September 1921 directing that the Sadrudin Dha Makhan at Nelamangala and the Inam village of Hoggadadevampura enjoyed thereto be taken under Government management.

2. Correspondence ending with letter no. 36§ dated 23rd November 1929 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore forwarding a report on the petition of Syed Shav Mohammed Hussaini (Registered Makhandar) and other ~~aforesaid~~ allyed claimants for the transfer of the management of the said Makhan and its properties.

Order No. 2020-2 Muz 176-29-4 Revenue

Dated, Bangalore, the 1st April 1930.

Government accept the Muzrai Commissioner's recommendation that the management of the Makhan and its properties should continue to remain with them and direct that prompt steps should be taken for managing the properties efficiently. The proposal to introduce the Survey and Settlement into the village is also approved. A suitable scale of expenditure for the performance of services in the institution should be fixed and a proper Committee of Muzarain-ow-koffr, appointed to supervise the regular conduct of services therein.

Very early steps should be taken for the recovery of arrears and the result reported to Government.

R. Sowrirajan

for Secretary to Government,

Financial Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore. (returning the records)
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

23

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mug

File No. 176-29

Serial No. 5

No. 2019/Inam Reg

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Supdt:

Rec: Survey & Settlement in Mysore

Date of issue 31/4/30

Signed by M.T.U.S. Iyr

Sir.

I am directed to return the Inam Register in Dr pertaining to the Agrahara devanapura as Suvarnamya to Syed Shah Saddruddin Makhan at Nelamangala received with your letter no: IFE 11-1-30 - which may be acknowledged -

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Thanks &c,

M.T.

for H.E.C.C.

over

WD 063—GPB—20,000—1-8-29

No. 236

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY,

Dated, Bangalore, 29th April 1930

7-5-

FROM

D. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, ESQ., B.A.,
Superintendent, Revenue Survey and
Settlements in Mysore.

To

Rajasevaprasakta

V. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., L.T.,
Under Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Rev 176-29-8

SIR,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the original Inam register pertaining to the grant of Heggaddevanpura Sarvamanya to Syed Shah Badruddin Dakhan at Nelamangala received with your letter No. 2019/Huz.176-29-5 dated 2-4-1930.

This may be filed with connected papers
R & MSK
12/5

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Superintendent.